UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,829	02/20/2002	Katsunobu Hayashi	P13205	7831
	7590 07/21/200 Associates, LLC	EXAMINER		
2845 Duke Stre	eet	CHEUNG, MARY DA ZHI WANG		
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3694	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/21/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@hershkovitz.net patent@hershkovitz.net

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/683,829	HAYASHI, KATSUNOBU			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MARY CHEUNG	3694			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stal Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Apply be timely filed FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ TI Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matte				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the	ccepted or b) objected to be ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 			

Application/Control Number: 09/683,829 Page 2

Art Unit: 3694

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

1. This action is in response to the RCE filed on April 28, 2009. Claims 1-26 are pending. Claims 21-26 are added. Claims 1-20 are amended. All the pending claims are examined.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed March 18, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the applicant's request of withdrawal or 112 2nd paragraph rejections, examiner believes that the claim language for claims 9-16, 18, 20, 22, 24 and 26 is not clear. The applicant recites "predetermined first condition", "predetermined second condition". It is not clear how these two conditions are related to each other. In claims 1, 17, and 19, the applicant clearly indicated "predetermined first condition" is a part of the plurality of risk factors and "predetermined second condition" is a remaining part of the plurality of the risk factors. The applicant is advised to amend claims 9-16, 18, 20, 22, 24 and 26 by following the similar claim language structure as claims 1, 17 and 19 in order to overcome the rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/683,829 Page 3

Art Unit: 3694

4. Claims 9-16, 18, 20, 22, 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claims 9-16, 18, 20, 22, 24 and 26, the applicant recites "predetermined first condition", "predetermined second condition". It is not clear how these two conditions are related to each other. In claims 1, 17, and 19, the applicant clearly indicated "predetermined first condition" is a part of the plurality of risk factors and "predetermined second condition" is a remaining part of the plurality of the risk factors. The applicant is advised to amend claims 9-16, 18, 20, 22, 24 and 26 by following the similar claim language structure as claims 1, 17 and 19 in order to overcome the rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. Claims 1-18 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1-18 and 21-24 are directed to purely mental steps. In order for a method to be considered a "process" under §101, a claimed process must either: (1) be tied to another statutory class (such as a particular apparatus) or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials). *Diamond v. Diehr*, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); *Parker v. Flook*, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); *Gottschalk v. Benson*, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); *Cochrane v. Deener*, 94 U.S. 780, 787-788 (1876). If neither of these

requirements is met by the claim, the method is not a patent eligible process under §101 and is non-statutory subject matter. Thus, to qualify as a statutory process, the claim should positively recite the other statutory class (the thing or product) to which it is tied, for example, by identifying the apparatus that accomplishes the method steps, or positively recite the subject matter that is being transformed, for example, by identifying the material that is being changed to a different state. The applicant is advised to include physical apparatus such as computer to body of the claim language in the independent claims in order to overcome the rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claim 9-16, 18, 20, 22, 24 and 26 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph and under 35 U.S.C. 101, set forth in this Office action.
- 8. Claim 1-8, 17, 19, 21, 23 and 25 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 101, set forth in this Office action.

Inquire

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Cheung whose telephone number is (571)-272-6705. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Thursday from 10:00 AM to 7:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell, can be reached on (571) 272-6712.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Application/Control Number: 09/683,829 Page 5

Art Unit: 3694

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceedings is assigned are as follows:

(571) 273-8300 (Official Communications; including After Final

Communications labeled "BOX AF")

(571) 273-6705 (Draft Communications)

/Mary Cheung/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3694 July 16, 2009